

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

APPEAL FROM ORDER No 265 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

LAXMANBHAI KARSHANBHAI H.U.F. THRO' KARTA AND ADMINISTRATOR

Versus

CHIMANLAL PUNJALAL

Appearance:

MR TUSHAR MEHTA for Appellant

MR SURESH M SHAH for Respondent No. 1

SERVED BY AFFIX.(N) for Respondent No. 2

NOTICE SERVED for Respondent No. 4

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE Y.B.BHATT

Date of decision: 22/09/98

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. When this appeal was taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the respective parties pointed out that by an order dated 29th July, 1997, passed in Civil Application No. 5113/97 in the present appeal, the trial court was directed to hear and decide the suit latest by 31st March, 1998. This stage is long passed. Moreover,

learned counsel for the appellant also fairly stated that the appellant itself had moved this Court for extension of time for deciding the suit, which application was rejected. In the premises aforesaid, in the absence of any request by the trial court for extension of time, it is expected that the trial court has already decided the suit by now. This appeal therefore does not survive and is accordingly disposed off.

2. Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

(Y.B. BHATT, J.)

Amp/-